

Study of the Prescription of Drugs to Patients with Chronic Prostatitis in Private Clinic

Iryna Gadyak^{1*}, Bohdan Hromovyk²

¹Department of Pharmacy Ivano Frankivsk National Medical University, Ukraine, ²Department of Organization and Economics of Pharmacy Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University, Ukraine

Abstract:

A complex clinical and economic analysis of drugs according to their trade names, prescribed/consumed by patients with chronic prostatitis in Ivano-Frankivsk private clinic was conducted. Using the frequency, FMR- and VD-analyzes, ATC/DDD and DU90% methodologies, the structure of the prescribed/consumed units of drugs action was determined. The disadvantages of the established practice of inappropriate pharmacotherapy and the necessity to improve them in the future were studied. According to the results of the study of DU90% group it was found that 6 drugs belong to group V (vital), and only one drug belongs to group D (desirable). By the FMR-analysis, it was found that 10 drugs belong to group F , 4 drugs belong to group M and 30 drugs belong to group R. Herewith, it was found that in group F 3 of 10 drugs, in group M 2 of 4 drugs and more than half of the drugs in group C (18 of 30) belong to the group of vital medicines. The results of the VD-analysis showed that more than half of all drugs (23 out of all prescribed/consumed drugs) are vital, the rest (21 drugs) are desirable. The results of DU90% analysis showed that 7 drugs occupied 90 % of the consumed DDDs. Thus, according to the results of the frequency, FMR- and VD- analyzes pharmacotherapy of patients with chronic prostatitis isn't rational from the point of evidence-based medicine and needs further improvement.

Keywords: chronic prostatitis, consumption, drugs, prescription, unit of dose.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic prostatitis is a serious medical and social problem of male population and over the last 15-20 years, it has been ranked first among urological diseases [1]. According to various authors' data, from one third to half of adult males aged 20 to 50 years suffer from chronic prostatitis, up to 40 % of young men feel symptoms of prostatitis, and more than 30 % of men older than 50 years suffer from prostatitis or its combination with benign or malignant tumours of the prostate [4]. Pharmacotherapy of chronic prostatitis is based on the use of drugs of different groups [8], in particular antibacterial and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, alpha-adrenoblockers [12].

Social dissatisfaction with this disease is associated with frequent relapses, decreased potency and reproductive function. Therefore, the problem of searching ways to increase the efficiency of pharmacotherapy of chronic prostatitis remains relevant.

The aim of the study was to carry out a complex clinical and economic analysis of trends in the prescription/consumption of drugs according to their trade names (TN) in pharmacotherapy of chronic prostatitis in the conditions of the urological department of a private clinic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methods of information search and generalization were used, as well as:

- frequency analysis, which consists in retrospective estimation of the frequency of drugs using and makes it possible to establish the trends of pharmacotherapy, since it only reflects the fact of the prescription of drugs and provides ranking the selected positions at the frequency of use – from those used most often, to those which are used rarely [5];

- FMR-analysis, which is based on the distribution of the prescribed drugs in order of decreasing their units of

doses (UD) into three groups: class F – the most often prescribed drugs, which represent about 20-30% of the positions that account for 70-80% of the UD consumed; class M – less often prescribed drugs (about 20% and 5-10% respectively); class R – rarely prescribed drugs (50-60% and 10-15% respectively) [7];

- VD-analysis, which is based on the distribution of drugs to the vital group (V), which is formed by drugs, included in the 10th edition of State Form of Drugs (SFD), [13] and the group of desirable drugs (D) [9].

- ATC/DDD methodology, which is based on the anatomical therapeutic chemical classification (ATC) of drugs and the special unit of measurement of the drugs use – Defined Daily Dose (DDD), which is the estimated average daily maintenance dose of the drug that is used according to the main indications in adults weighing 70 kg. In addition, the DDDs indicator – the number of DDD of drugs consumed by patients – was calculated according to the formula: DDDs= drug amount, g/DDD [11,14];

- DU 90% analysis, in which the calculated DDD for each drug is ranked from a higher to lower DDD value. The proportion of each drug in the total amount of DDD is calculated and two groups of drugs are formed: DU 90%, which consists of drugs that make up 90 % of all consumed DDD, and the group of drugs that make up the remaining 10 % of all consumed DDD. Then the DU 90% group is compared with the recommendations and protocols for treatment of a particular pathology valid at the time of research, or is evaluated by VD-analysis. Conclusions are made whether the treatment complies with accepted standards or not [3,10].

The object of the study was the information from the prescription leaflet of 110 patients of the urological department of the private clinic in Ivano-Frankivsk (Ukraine). Study period -2017.

The analysis of prescription leaflet of out-patient medical records showed that men aged 41-50 years most

often suffer from chronic prostatitis (36.4 %), in the second place – (30.9 %) men aged 51-60 years, men whose age is over 60, suffer from this disease much less

often (12.7%); at the age of 20-30 years – 10.9%, and at the age of 31-40 – only 9.1% [6].

Table 1 RESULTS OF FREQUENCY, FMR- AND VD-ANALYZES OF THE PRESCRIBED/CONSUMED DRUGS AND OTHER MEANS IN THE CLINIC

No		ATY -	Number of UD prescribed		Group				
	TN OF DRUG	CODE	abs.	proportion, %	VD				
	Група F (22.7 % of drugs nomenclature and 79.8 % of prescriptions)								
1	Prostaplant Forte caps.	G04CX10	2610	14.31	D				
2	Omnic caps. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	2280	12.50	V				
3	Prostamol [®] Uno 320 mg caps.	G04CX02	1890	10.36	D				
4	Prostatilen supp.	G04CX10	1660	9.10	D				
5	Prostaplant 320 mg caps.	G04CX02	1560	8.55	D				
6	Duovit caps.	A11AA04	1170	6.41	D				
7	Prostatilen-Biopharma lyophilizate10 mg	G04CX10	1060	5.81	D				
8	Water for injections amp.	V07AB	1060	5.81	D				
9	Levofloxacin caps.	J01MA12	688	3.77	V				
10	Dicloberl supp.	M01AB05	615	3.37	V				
Group	M (9.1 % of drugs nomenclature and 10.6 % of press	criptions)							
11	Fokusin caps. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	570	3.13	V				
12	Aevit caps.	A11JA	540	2.96	D				
13	Beresh drops Plus 100 ml	A12CX	430	2.36	D				
14	Diclofenac supp.	M01AB05	390	2.14	V				
Group	R (68.2 % of drugs nomenclature and 9.6 % of presc	riptions)							
15	Vitaprost supp.	G04BX50	360	1.97	D				
16	Omnic ocas tab. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	180	0.99	V				
17	Flosin caps. 0.4 mg	G04CF02	180	0.99	V				
18	Ciprofloxacin 500 tab.	J01MA02	150	0.82	V				
19	Ciprinol 500 caps.	J01MA02	130	0.71	V				
20	Prostamed tab.	G04CX	120	0.66	D				
21	Tamsulostad caps. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	90	0.49	V				
22	Pantocrinum sol. 50 ml	A13A	80	0.44	D				
23	Pravenor caps.	DS*	60	0.33	D				
24	Fitoprost supp.	HPM**	40	0.22	D				
25	Leflocin sol. 100 ml	J01MA12	35	0.19	V				
26	Urorec caps. 4 mg	G04CA04	30	0.16	D				
27	Tamsin Forte tab. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	30	0.16	V				
28	Omix caps. 0.4 mg	G04CA02	30	0.16	V				
29	Formen Kombi caps.	DS	30	0.16	D				
30	Abyflox tab	J01MA12	24	0.13	V				
31	Prostalin supp.	G04CX10	20	0.11	D				
32	Ceftriaxone powder	J01DA13	16	0.09	V				
33	Natrii chloridum amp.	B05X A03	16	0.09	D				
34	Ofloxin tab.	J01MA01	13	0.07	V				
35	Tavanic sol. 100 ml	J01MA12	10	0.05	D				
36	Lymphomyosot sol.	HM***	10	0.05	D				
37	Dexalgin amp.	M01AE12	10	0.05	V				
38	Reumoxicam amp.	M01AC06	10	0.05	V				
39	Urosept supp.	J01MB04	10	0.05	V				
40	Prostatofit tinct.	G04CX10	10	0.05	D				
41	Analgin amp.	N02BB02	8	0.04	V				
42	Levoflox tab.	J01MA12	5	0.03	V				
43	Suprastin amp.	R06AC03	5	0.03	V				
44	Ofloxacin tab.	J01MA01	5	0.03	V				
	Total		18240	100.0	X				
Notes: *DS – dietary supplement, **HPM – hygienic and prophylactic mean, *** HM – homeopathic mean									

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was established that urologists of the private clinic used 16 drugs according to the International Nonproprietary Names (INN), in the form of 44 TN.

Frequency analysis revealed that the investigated TN of the drugs were characterized by proportion of prescriptions within 0.03-14.31% from the total number of prescriptions (Table 1).

The largest amount of the consumed UD is characteristic for the Prostaplant Forte (14.31%), Omnic (12.50%), Prostamol Uno (10.36%), Prostatilen (9.10%), Prostaplant (8.55%), Duovit (6.41%), Prostatilen Biopharma lyophilizate (5.81%), Water for injections (5.81%), Levofloxacin (3.77%), Dicloberl (3.37%), Fokusin (3.13%), Aevit (2.96%), Beresh drops Plus (2.36%), Diclofenac (2.14%), Vitaprost (1.97%). For the remaining 29 drugs the proportion of the UD consumed was lower than 1%.

At the same time, it was found that urologists prescribed 4 drugs referred to dietary supplements, homeopathic and hygienic and prophylactic means (Pravenor -0.33%, Fitoprost -0.22%, Formen Kombi -0.16%, Lymphomyosot -0.05%).

By the FMR-analysis it was determined that 10 drugs (22.7 %) referred to the group F were prescribed in 79.8 % of cases; 4 drugs (9.1%) referred to the group M

were prescribed in 10.6 % of cases; 30 drugs (68.2%) referred to the group R were prescribed only in 9.6 % of cases.

The results of the VD-analysis showed that more than half of all drugs (23 out of all prescribed drugs) are vital, the rest (21 drugs) are desirable. Herewith, it was found that in group F only 3 drugs (Omnic, Levofloxacin, Dicloberl), in group M 2 drugs (Fokusin, Diclofenac) and more than half of the drugs of the group C (18) belong to the group of vital medicines. Consequently, vital drugs are in all three FMR niches.

Thus, according to the results of the frequency, FMR- and VD- analyzes pharmacotherapy of patients with chronic prostatitis isn't rational from the point of evidence-based medicine and needs further improvement.

It has been found that the defined daily dose (DDD) is calculated for only 26 out of the total number of prescribed drugs (59.1 %). Undoubtedly, this leads to a certain underestimation of consumption [2].

During the studied period 2280 DDDs Omnic, 1170 DDDs Duovit, 688 DDDs Levofloxacin, 570 DDDs Fokusin, 505 DDDs Dicloberl, 320 DDDs Diclofenac, 180 Flosin and 180 Omnic ocas were consumed by chronic prostatitis patients. For the rest of the drugs rates were less than 100 DDDs (Table 2).

Table 2 RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS OF THE AMOUNT OF PRESCRIBED/CONSUMED DDD DRUGS BY
PATIENTS WITH CP

No	TN of drug	ATX code	Amount of	the used drug	Drug consumption		
INU			UD	g, mg	DDD	DDDs	
1	Omnic caps. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	2280	912 mg	0.4 mg O	2280	
2	Duovit caps.	A11A A04 1170 - 1 tab. = 1 UJ		1 tab. = 1 UD	1170		
3	Levofloxacin 500 mg	J01M A12	688	344 g	0.5g O	688	
4	Fokusin caps. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	570	228 mg	0.4 mg O	570	
5	Dicloberl supp.	M01A B05	615	50.5 g	0.1g R	505	
6	Diclofenac supp.	M01A B05	390	32 g	0.1g R	320	
7	Flosin caps. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	180	72 mg	0.4 mg O	180	
8	Omnic ocas tab. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	180	72 mg	0.4 mg O	180	
9	Tamsulostad caps. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	90	36 mg	0.4 mg O	90	
10	Ciprofloxacin 500 mg	J01M A02	150	75 g	1g O	75	
11	Ciprinol 500 mg	J01M A02	130	65 g	1g O	65	
12	Leflocin 500 mg	J01M A12	35	17.5 g 0.5 g O		35	
13	Omix caps. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	30	12 mg	0.4 mg O	30	
14	Tamsin Forte tab. 0.4 mg	G04C A02	30	12 mg	0.4 mg O	30	
15	Abyflox 500 mg	J01M A12	24	12 g	0.5 g O	24	
16	Ceftriaxone 1.0	J01D D04	16	16 g	1 g P	16	
17	Urorec caps. 4 mg	G04C A04	30	120 mg	8 mg O	15	
18	Tavanic 500 mg	J01M A12	10	5 g	0.5 g O	10	
19	Reumoxicam 10 mg	M01A C06	10	100 mg	15 mg P	6,7	
20	Ofloxin 200 mg	J01M A01	13	2.6 g	0.4 g O	6,5	
21	Levoflox 500 mg	J01M A12	5	2.5 g	0.5 g O	5	
22	Dexalgin 25 mg	M01A E17	10	250 mg	75 mg P	3,3	
23	Ofloxacin 200 mg	J01M A01	5	1 g	0.4 g O	2,5	
24	Urosept 0.2 g	J01M B04	10	2 g	0.8 g O	2,5	
25	Analgin 500 mg	N02B B02	8	4 g	3 g P	1,3	
26	Suprastin 25 mg	R06A C03	5	0.125 g	0.15 g O	0,8	
	Total		6684	X	X	6311.6	
Notes: UD - unit of dose; DDD - received from ATC/DDD index 2017; O - values for oral drugs; P - values for parenteral drugs; R -							
values for rectal drugs.							

Frequency analysis			DU90% analysis				
No	INN	Amount of UD prescribed	%	No	INN	DDDs	%
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Prostaplant Forte	2610	14.31	1	Omnic [®]	2280	36.12
2	Omnic caps	2280	12.50	2	Duovit	1170	18.54
3	Prostamol [®] Uno	1890	10.36	3	Levofloxacin	688	10.90
4	Prostatilen supp.	1660	9.10	4	Fokusin ®	570	9.03
5	Prostaplant®	1560	8.55	5	Dicloberl [®]	505	8.00
6	Duovit	1170	6.41	6	Diclofenac	320	5.07
7	Prostatilen lioph. liliopfBiopharma	1060	5.81	7	Flosin	180	2.85
	Total	12230	67.04		Total	5713	90.52
8-44	Other drugs	6010	32.96	8-26	Other drugs	598	9.48
Total		18240	100.00		Total	6311	100.00

Table 3 RESULTS OF FREQUENCY AND DU90% ANALYZES OF THE PRESCRIBED/CONSUMED DRUGS

DU90% analysis revealed that 7 drugs occupied 90% of the consumed DDDs (Table 3).

Correlation between the frequency of prescription and the amount of the consumed DDDs is observed for only two drugs – Omnic and Duovit (28.6 %). Frequency of prescription of Prostaplant Forte, Prostamol Uno, Prostatilen, Prostaplant, Prostatilen Biopharma lyophilizate, which are not included in DU90%, is within 5.8-14.31 %. However, Levofloxacin, Fokusin, Dicloberl, Diclofenac and Flosin (which are formulary drugs) are included in DU90%, but the frequency of their prescription is less than 4.0 %.

According to the results of the study of DU90% group it was found that 6 drugs belong to group V (vital), and only Duovit belongs to group D (desirable).

CONCLUSIONS

- On the basis of the 1. the study of prescription/consumption of drugs for patients with chronic prostatitis in the urological department of the private clinic in Ivano-Frankivsk, it was revealed that according to the frequency analysis, 15 of 44 drugs were prescribed/consumed the most frequently. By the FMRanalysis, it was found that 10 drugs (22.7%) belong to group F and were prescribed in 79.8% of cases, 4 drugs (9.1%)belong to group Μ and were prescribed/consumed in 10.6% of cases, and 30 drugs group (68.2%) belong to R and were prescribed/consumed in 9.6% of cases. Herewith, it was found that in group F 3 of 10 drugs (Omnic, Levofloxacin, Dicloberl), in group M 2 of 4 drugs (Fokusin, Diclofenac) and more than half of the drugs in group C (18 of 30) belong to the group of vital medicines.
- 2. The results of the VD-analysis showed that more than half of all drugs (23 out of all prescribed/consumed drugs) are vital, the rest (21 drugs) are desirable.
- 3.The results of DU90% analysis showed that 7 drugs occupied 90 % of the consumed DDDs. The absolute majority of these drugs (except Duovit) belong to the group V (vital). Correlation between the frequency of prescription and the amount of the consumed DDDs is noticed for only two drugs Omnic and Duovit (28.6 %).

4. Conducted complex clinical and economic analysis allowed to determine the structure of the prescription/consumption of drugs according to their trade names for the pharmacotherapy of chronic prostatitis; to reveal the disadvantages of the established practice of inappropriate pharmacotherapy and the necessity to improve them in the future due to increased use of vital drugs.

REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Shukri SH. Modern methods of treatment of chronic prostatitis. *Nephrol.* 2009, 13(2), 86-91.
- ATC/DDD Index 2017. Available at: https:// www. whocc. no/atc_ ddd_index/ [Accessed 25 Aug 2018]
- Bergman U, Popa C., Tomson Y., Wettermark B, Einarson TR, Aberg H, Sjoqvist F. Drug utilization 90% – a simple method for assessing the quality of drug prescribing *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol*,1998, 54(2), 113–118.
- 4. Boiko N.I. Prostatitis. A new look at the old problem. *Urol.* 2011, 1(56), 34-40.
- 5. Vorobiev PA. ABC-, VEN-, frequency analysis in health care. J. Problems of standar. in health care, 2004, 3, 3-5.
- Gadyak IV., Hromovyk BP. Comparative analysis of the prescription of drugs to patients with chronic prostatitis in health care institutions of different forms of property ownership. *The Pharma Innovation*, 2018, 7(8), 235-239.
- Horilyk DV, Horilyk AV, Popovytch VP, Hromovyk BP Instrument for realization of automated and integrated ABC/FMR/(XYZ)/VED analysis. J Clin. Pharmacy, Pharmacoth. & Medical Standar., 2011, 3(4), 175–178.
- Hromovyk BP, Gadyak IV, Trishch. Expert assessment of prostate protectors for pharmacotherapy of chronic prostatitis (on the example of the Ivano-frankivsk region). *Pharm. J.* 2018, 2, 71-76.
- Levytska OR. Results of the integrated ABC/VED analysis of drugs intended for pharmacotherapy of acute cerebral vascular pathology. Medical science: new ideas and concepts: a collection of materials for an international scientific and practical conference, Dnipropetrovsk: Organization of scientific medical research «Salutem», 2012, 114-115.
- 10. Levytska OR, Hromovyk BP. Pharmacoepidemiological aspects of acute cerebral vascular pathology. *Economy in public health, clin. economics and pharmacoeconom.*, 2012, 4, 175-179.
- Morozov AM., Yakovlieva LV, Stepanenko AV. Study of drug consumption for anatomical therapeutic chemical classification and defined daily doses ATC/DDD. Method.recom. 2013, 34.
- 12. Order of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine dated 03.07.2006 № 431 "Clinical Protocol for Chronic Prostatitis Medical Care" Available at: http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/print/dn_20090304_135.html.
- State Form of Medicines. 10th Edition. Electronic resource. 2018. Available at: http://www.moz.gov.ua/ua/portal/dn_20170403_363.htm
- Yakovlieva LV, Bezditko NV, Herasymova OO. *Pharmacoeconomics*, Educ. Manual., Nova Knyha, Vinnytsia, 2009.