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Abstract
This research was performed for the purpose of knowing intestinal parasites in the feces of some captive animals (CA) in Alsaeaduh zoo (ASZ) and the Agricultural Center (AC) in Al-Diwaniyah province, Iraq. Sixty-six fecal samples were collected from Panthera leo, Vulpes vulpes, Canis familiaris, Macaca sp., Sus scrofa, Phoenicopterus roseus, Ciconia boyciana, Axis axis, Gazella gazella, Struthio camelus, and Pavo sp. The results indicated that the total infection rate in these animals in the ASZ was 50% that recorded 5 species of eggs/oocysts of the intestinal parasites, which included Eimeria sp., Cryptosporidium spp., Hymenolepis sp., Ascaris spp., and Toxocara spp. While in the animals of the AC, the percentage of the total infection was 44.73%, where recorded four species of eggs/oocysts of the intestinal parasites that included both Eimeria sp., Cryptosporidium spp., Hymenolepis sp., and Ascaris spp. The results also indicated that there are no fundamental differences in the percentages of the infected animals with intestinal parasites. This study provides important data about the presence of intestinal infestation by certain species of parasites affecting animals in the zoo and the agriculture center of the sampled city.
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INTRODUCTION
Zoo can be defined as a place where there are rare species of animals brought from their natural habitats (1). Public parks are recreational places frequently visited by many people and contain many species of important wild animals as well as endangered species where they are kept inside cages in order to preserve them as well as increase their numbers (2).

Parasites are major problems that threaten the health and the existence of rare species leading to mortality in these species (3,4). Some modern scientific sources indicate that many animals in public parks are infected with common parasitic species that can infect humans and thus pose a danger to public health (5,6). Rearing of animals in narrow places leads to changes in the living conditions in addition to the environmental pollution generated and thus facilitates the incidence of many parasitic diseases (7–10) as constant stress of captivity makes the immune system of CAs weak and thus more vulnerable to parasitic infection (7,11).

In Iraq, researches on this subject is still very few, which led us to carry out this research to survey the intestinal parasites associated with animals in the zoo and Agricultural Center in Al-Diwaniyah province.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Samples collection
The study was conducted on the CAs in cages of the ASZ and the AC in Al-Diwaniyah Province, Iraq during the period from March, 2018 until the end of November, 2018. From the ASZ, the study involved Panthera leo, Vulpes vulpes, Canis familiaris, Macaca sp., Sus scrofa, Phoenicopterus roseus, Ciconia boyciana. Moreover, in the AC the species involved were Axis axis, Gazella gazella, Struthio camelus, and Pavo sp, figure 1. According to that, 66 fecal samples were collected directly from the animals and placed in labelled-clean-plastic boxes. The boxes were then placed separately in plastic bags and kept in an icebox for storing the samples until they were transferred to the laboratory of parasites in the animal house of the Department of Biology, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Diwaniyah Province, Iraq.

Samples examination
In order to investigate intestinal parasites in the feces of CAs, several methods were used according to Coles (1986)(12), including direct smear method looking for the trophozoites or oocysts of protozoa. Some smears were stained with the Zell Nelson stain, and the other were stained with Lugol's iodine. Sedimentation and floatation with zinc sulphate methods also were used to investigate worm eggs. All the prepared glass slides were examined under a light microscope at 40 and/or 100X. Identification of eggs/oocysts were based on morphology as well as micrometric measurements as provided by Bowman and Lynn (1999) (13).

Statistical analysis
The data obtained were analyzed by (CRD) that was adopted as one-way and two-way laboratory experimental designs as well as comparisons of the averages using the least significant difference (LSD) under probability level of \( p \leq 0.05 \), in order to study the correlation between the infections with the prevalence of the parasites.
Table 1: Oocysts and eggs with their proportions in some captive animals in Al-Diwaniyah Province, Iraq.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of animals in the zoo</th>
<th>Number of animal examined</th>
<th>Number of animal infected</th>
<th>Eimeria spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Cryptosporidium spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Hymenolepis sp. N (%)</th>
<th>Ascaris spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Toxocara spp. N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panthera leo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulpes vulpes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis familiaris</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(66.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaca sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(33.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sus scrofa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenicopterus roseus</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3(37.5)</td>
<td>2(25)</td>
<td>3(37.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciconia boyciana</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(33.33)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of animals in the agriculture center</th>
<th>Number of animal examined</th>
<th>Number of animal infected</th>
<th>Eimeria spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Cryptosporidium spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Hymenolepis sp. N (%)</th>
<th>Ascaris spp. N (%)</th>
<th>Toxocara spp. N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axis axis</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10(40)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazella gazella</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3(30)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Struthio camelus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3(30)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavo sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1(33.33)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(33.33)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>38</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: A. Struthio camelus. B. Pavo sp. C. Axis axis. D. Gazella gazelle.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the current study revealed that the CAs in both the ASZ and the AC were infected with 5 intestinal parasites. Five species of oocysts and eggs that belonged to intestinal parasites were observed in the current research, figure 2. These parasites have included *Eimeria* sp., *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Hymenolepis* sp., *Ascaris* spp., and *Toxocara* spp. The percentage of the total infection in CAs in the zoo was 50%, table 1, while the proportion of animals in the AC has reached 44.73%, table 1. This ratio seems to be low compared to the rates recorded by Al-Amery (2013) (14) in the park Zora in Baghdad, Mir et al (2016) in Garden animals of Punjab, and Kolapo and Jegede (2017) (15) in CAs of University Ilorin zoological garden which counted as 72.46%, 68%, and 62.9% respectively. The current study results approach to the ratio 46.2% recorded by Thawait et al (2014) (16) in animals belonged to Nandan Van Zoo. This may be due to differences in the number of specimens selected, species of animals, area of the study, and the methods used to diagnose parasites. The results indicate that there are no significant differences in the percentage of the infected animals with intestinal parasites. These results differ from the results of the infection surveys recorded in a group of CAs in Iran, Nigeria, Brazil, Costa Rica, and Iraq conducted by Olayide et al, (2008) (17), Chunha et al, (2008) (18), Ajibade et al, (2010) (5), and Al-Amery (2013) (14) respectively who indicated a higher incidence of nematode infection than other parasites. The results recorded for the coccidia oocysts that belong to the parasite *Eimeria* sp as *Phoenicopterus roseus* 37.5%, and *Struthio camelus* 30%, and this registration is for the first time for *Phoenicopterus roseus* and *Struthio camelus*, as the Iraqi studies did not indicate its registration previously. Oocysts of *Eimeria* sp were recorded in other species of CAs in zoos such as *Capra hircus*, *Gazella gazelle*, *Panthero leo*, *Ursus arctos*, and *Panthera tigris*. While Radhy and Hassan (2012) (19) recorded in *Gazella gazelle*, *Capra hircus*, *Panthera leo*, *Panthera tigris*, and *Ursus arctos*. Globally, Coccidia oocysts isolated by Singh et al, (2006) (20) from *Gazella benetti* as well as Kolapo and Jegede (2017) (15) from Badger, Spotted hyena, Mule, Sitatunga, and Ram. *Cryptosporidium* spp one of the protozoa that were recorded during the survey as was isolated from only herbivores that included *Phoenicopterus roseus* 25%, *Struthio camelus* 10%, and *Pavo* sp 33.33%. The present
conclusion differed with Radhy and Hassan (2012) (19) who registered Cryptosporidium spp contagion in carnivores and herbivores such as Crocuta crocuta, Panthero tigris, Canis lupus, Lama glama, and Camelus bactrianus. It is a common parasite that is not limited to birds has been recorded in reptiles, fish, amphibians, and humans (21,22). Infection of this parasite occurs by ingestion oocysts containing spores with contaminated water and food. The chances of infection with this parasite increase with the presence of animals in large numbers inside rearing cages. During the current study, eggs of one species of tapeworms were recorded, Hymenolepis sp. It is found in Phoenicopterus roseus 37.5% and Struthio camelus 10%. The parasite lifecycle is indirect and requires beetles and some insects to reach its final stages. Ascaris spp eggs constituted the highest percentage of the recorded parasites isolated from Axis axis 40%, Pavo sp. 33.33%, and Ciconia boyciana 33.33%. It should be noted that the eggs of the Ascaris are widespread and infect different hosts Thawait et al., (2014) (16) in the herbivores which included Axis axis, Muntiacus muntjak, Tetracerus quadrir cinris, Boselaphus tragocamelus, Boselaphus tragocamelus, Antilope cervicapra, and Cervus unicolor. Mir et al.(2016) (23) in Viverra zibetha and Hystrix indica as well as Kolapo and Jegede (2017) (15) in stripped Hyena. The eggs of Toxocara spp were recorded only in carnivores such as Vulpes vulpes 100%, Canis familiaris 66.66%, and Macaca sp. 33.33% while not recorded in any species of the CAs of the AC, and this result is similar to conclusion reached by Al-Amery (2013) (14) in Baghdad. Globally, Toxocara spp were recorded in CAs in zoos by Abe and Yasukawa (1996) (24) in sandpits of parks in Japan, Thawait et al., (2014) (16) of Panthera leo, Panthera pardus, Melursus ursinus, Canis aureus, Mellivora capensis, and Macaca mulatta as well as Kolapo and jegede (2017) (15) in I.Lorin.
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