The Effectiveness of Platelet Rich Fibrin as a Graft Material in Sinus Augmentation Procedures through Lateral Approach
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Abstract

Background: Rehabilitation of posterior maxilla is compromised by deficient residual bone height that inversely affects the primary dental implant stability. Sinus augmentation is a predictable surgery to provide additional bone volume. Natural bone regeneration can be improved with the use of platelet rich fibrin (PRF) that serves as a scaffold for new bone regeneration.

Aim: Evaluation of effectiveness of PRF as a sole graft material with immediate or delayed implant placement.

Materials and methods: Simultaneous 17 implants placement with sinus augmentation (one-stage surgery) were done for 14 sinuses while augmentation with delayed implant placement (two-stage surgery) was performed for 5 sinuses with PRF as the sole filling biomaterial. Ten males and 6 females with mean age of 48.89 years (range: 29-65) were enrolled in this study. For each patient, a presurgical examination with orthopantomography (OPG) and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) for initial assessment of the residual bone height. Twenty four weeks post-surgical radiographic examination was obtained with CBCT to assess the final height and density of submembranous bone.

Results: The submembranous bone height for one-stage surgery ranged between 10.7 and 13.9 and for two-stage surgery ranged between 1.2-7.2 mm in proposed implant site, which was highly significant for both protocols. The mean density of gained bone in one-stage surgery cases was 180.28±109.89 Hounsfield unit (HU), while for two-stage surgery was 183.60±97.67 HU. Postoperative period was uneventful and all implants were stable after 24 weeks.

Conclusion: Platelet rich fibrin is easily obtained and cost effective biomaterial. PRF as sole graft material with immediate implant placement provides stable, high level bone. However, despite PRF is able to form new bone in two-stage protocol but its capacity to maintain space is unclear.
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INTRODUCTION

Edentulism of posterior maxilla results in deficient bone volume and vertical height between the floor of the sinus and the edentulous ridge, compromising the placement of dental implant with the necessary primary stability for long-term success. Sinus floor elevation to augment the maxillary sinus can be achieved by two main approaches: the external lateral window approach and the internal transalveolar approach 1.

The lateral window approach was first developed by Tatum in the mid-seventies and was published by Boyne and James in 1980. It is the most popular method to increase the residual bone height of the posterior maxilla with simultaneous or delayed implant placement 2. The other approach, established by Summers is the crestal technique. It is more conservative, less time consuming; but provides only limited augmentation. With both techniques a variety of augmentation material are used with successful results such as autogenous bone grafts, allografts, xenografts, and alloplastic materials 3. Nowadays, platelet rich fibrin (PRF) has been used as sinus augmentation material. It was first prepared by Choukroun et al. in 2001 by simple collection of venous blood and centrifugation without any additives. It is characterized by its fibrin mesh that is enriched with platelets and growth factors, so accelerates physiologic wound healing and new bone formation 4. For pre and postoperative assessment of sinus augmentation procedures cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is potential and reliable diagnostic modality as reported in many literatures 5.

The objective of this clinical study was to assess the effectiveness of PRF clots as the sole filling material during lateral sinus lift procedures for 12 patients. Five cases (sinuses) underwent sinus augmentation only with delayed implant placement protocol for 4 patients. Three patients were treated bilaterally. All the cases underwent lateral sinus lift procedures in which PRF was used as the sole graft material. (Dentium Co., Korea) Dentium implant system was used in all procedures.

Inclusion criteria

1. Patients presented with partially or completely edentulous maxilla with pneumatized sinus seeking for dental implant placement.
2. Sinus augmentation with simultaneous implant placement were performed in cases of residual bone height (RBH) 3-6 mm while delayed implant placement in cases of RBH <3 mm.

Exclusion criteria

1. Local pathology or systemic diseases that compromise bone healing potential as radiotherapy, fibrous dysplasia, hyperparathyroidism, uncontrolled diabetes, etc…..
2. Clinical or radiographical features of rhinosinusitis and acute/chronic infection in the implant zone.
3. Parafuncional habits such as severe bruxism and clenching.
4. Alveolar ridge width <6 mm.
5. Previous sinus surgery.
6. Patients with recent history of radio or chemotherapy, receiving bisphosphonates, or other related drugs.

Preoperative assessment and surgical procedure

Preoperative OPG was taken for initial assessment. Cone beam CT is essential for assessment of alveolar bone height, width, and density of implant site, tooth proximity to implant site, sepa, membrane thickness, antral pathology, ostium patency, sinus pneumatization, lateral wall thickness, and intraosseous alveolar antral artery, Figure (Fig.) 1(A). Surgery was done under local anesthesia. Reflection of full thickness mucoperiosteal three sided flap, followed by preparation of lateral osteotomy window with the first point of drilling is located at 3 mm from anterior and inferior border of the sinus wall, and can be adequately
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All patients were given medications including cefixime trihydrate, 2-3 drops every 4 hours for 5 days, acetaminophen 500 mg tab orally three times/day, for 5 days, phenylephrine 0.5% nasal drops 400 mg tab orally once/day, for 5 days, metronidazole 250 mg tab orally once/day, for 5 days, and chlorhexidine digluconate 0.2% mouthwash twice/day for 2 weeks.

**Evaluation method (six months after surgery)**

1. The neoformed bone was measured in submillimeters using special CBCT software tools by subtracting the preoperative RBH (measure X) from the postoperative submembranous bone height (SBH) (measure Y) which was calibrated from alveolar bone to the uppermost point of bone above the DI, Fig. 1(D). Neofomed bone = measure Y - measure X.

2. Density of neoformed bone was measured at three points; mesial, distal, and apical to the implant, and mean density was calculated. Density estimation was performed utilizing CBCT (Carestream Health Inc., USA) depending on misch scale for density estimation as follows: D1>1250 HU, D2= 850-1250 HU, D3= 350-850 HU, D4 =150-350 HU, and D5 <150 HU.

3. Osseointegration of implant was assessed according to Albrektsson criteria of success (immobility, asymptomatic, no peri-implant radiolucency).

4. Sinus was evaluated for any complications or pathological changes clinically and radiographically.

5. Fixtures exposure was done by using tissue punch at speed 300 rpm and the cover screw was removed and replaced by healing abutment for about 10-14 days, thereafter the patient was referred to the prosthodontic department for fabrication of the final prosthesis. Statistical analysis using Statistical Package for social Science (SPSS version 21) was presented as Frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. Two independent samples T-test: test the significant differences of means between two groups. Paired sample T test: the data may consist of two measurements taken on the same subject or one measurement taken on a matched pair of subjects. Pearson Correlation (r): test the correlation between two quantitative variables. Level of significance as: not significant P>0.05, significant P<0.05, highly significant P<0.01.

## RESULTS

The SBH (RBH+ neoformed bone) for one-stage surgery in each implant site ranged between 10.7 and 13.9 mm (mean±SD 12.44±0.99) and for two-stage surgery ranged between 1.2-7.2 mm (mean 4.93±1.61) in proposed implant site, which was highly significant for both protocols, table (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Independent Sample T test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-Stage</td>
<td>Two-Stage</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HS: highly significant at P<0.01.

The mean of gained bone in one-stage surgery was 7.98±1.70 mm and 3.01±1.63 mm in two-stage surgery which was also highly significant. The density of gained bone was measured for each treatment protocol and it was found that the density in the one-stage surgery cases was 408.28±169.89 HU which falls in D3 category according to Misch scale of density, while for two-stage surgery the mean of gained bone density was 183.60±97.67 HU which falls in D4 category. For one-stage surgery there was 5.88% of cases were located in D2 category followed by 35.29% D3, and 58.82% D4. For two-stage surgery 80% of cases fall in D5 category and 20% in D5. Bone density of D1 category was not obtained in one or two-stage surgery, table (2).
continuity with the sinus floor. This is comparable with other surgical exposure and radiographically the end of implant was in "not osseointegrated" 14,15. The use of PRF simply and safely embedment of implant apex in thick connective tissue of sinus.

### DISCUSSION

The result of this study reveals that PRF clot is effective when used as a sole filling material during a lateral sinus lift with immediate implant placement and less effective when delayed implant placement protocol is performed, utilizing CBCT for radiographical analyses of height and density of neoformed bone. The final SBH 6 months after surgery for each implant site was highly significant. For one-stage surgery; the SBH ranged between 10.7 mm and 13.9 mm (mean 12.44±0.99 mm). On clinical examination all implants were stable at the time of surgical exposure and radiographically the end of implant was in continuity with the sinus floor. This is comparable with other available studies in the same topic 10,12. Although in these studies greater amount of PRF clots were used (72 mL of whole blood withdrawn to prepare 8 PRF membranes). The placement of PRF clot in close relation with Schneiderian membrane may be responsible for more stable bone at the level of implant apex due to stimulation of periosteal-like layer of Schneiderian membrane and high concentration of growth factors. Fibrin matrix is well architectural strong bone matrix begins from PRF fibrin matrix and bone tissue biopsy in case of two-stage surgery revealed woven bone around mature lamellar bone 18. That is supportive explanation to the low density obtained in delayed implant augmentation depending on two-stage protocol was done and more gained bone with reducing operation cost. In this study sinus augmentation using graftless PRF membranes were used in sinus augmentation without implant placement and the results were comparable to this study. One sinus augmentation procedure failed and no bone was formed after 24 weeks (the case was from the two-stage group).

Strong positive correlation was found between implant length and gained bone (r=0.65) and this is highly significant (0.005) at P<0.01. The use of PRF clot simply and safely maintains the submembraneous space up to the implant apex. Graftless sinus lift is well documented procedure and published by many authors, but ends up with limited bone volume that results in implant apex in thick connective tissue of sinus "not osseointegrated". 14,15. The use of PRF simply and safely allows placement of the preferable length of implant if primary stability can be obtained clinically (in this study the minimum RBH was 3 mm provided good clinical primary stability). Sohn (2011) 19 reported the advantages of such augmentation material as no cross infection, no donor site morbidity, no reported infection and more gained bone with reducing operation cost. In this study sinus augmentation using graftless PRF membranes was done when RBH being <3 mm (mean 1.92 ±1.08 mm) and the mean gained bone at time of second stage surgery was 3.01±1.63 mm. This bone gain is statistically significant but clinically the mean final SBH was 4.93±1.61 mm so the sinus augmentation still insufficient for adequate implant placement. This may be explained by the limited capacity of PRF clot to keep the artificial space created during membrane elevation that results in membrane collapse; and could be avoided if space maintainer is to be used. It is documented that PRF clot dissolves gradually during 1-2 weeks 17. Another explanation is that insufficient PRF clots were used in the two-stage surgery while it was sufficient when placed with dental implant as the implant occupied the space and splinted PRF. However, the space maintaining capacity of PRF clot need further studies and more PRF clots can be used for stronger evidence. To the best of author's knowledge, there was only one case report published by Aoki et al. (2016) 16 in which 2 PRF membranes were used in sinus augmentation without implant placement and the results were comparable to this study. One sinus augmentation procedure failed and no bone was formed after 24 weeks (the case was from the two-stage group).

### Table 2: Descriptive and statistical test of density change within stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Subantral bone density (HU)</th>
<th>Gained bone density (HU)</th>
<th>Paired sample Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-stage</td>
<td>Min. 110.00</td>
<td>236.10</td>
<td>T 4.457 DF 16 P-value 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. 623.00</td>
<td>863.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 256.59</td>
<td>408.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 135.99</td>
<td>169.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE 32.98</td>
<td>41.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-stage</td>
<td>Min. 113.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>T 0.675 DF 9 P-value 0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. 341.00</td>
<td>244.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 222.20</td>
<td>183.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 95.74</td>
<td>97.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE 30.28</td>
<td>30.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Min. 110.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>T 2.351 DF 26 P-value 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. 623.00</td>
<td>863.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 243.85</td>
<td>325.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 121.82</td>
<td>182.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE 23.45</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS=not significant at P>0.05, Sig.=significant at P<0.05, HS=highly significant at P<0.01.
density of gained bone was 489.62 HU which is very comparable to the density obtained with PRF clot but it is worth to point out the privilege of PRF as an autogenous, inexpensive, and easily prepared graft material. Schneiderian membrane perforation occurred in 4 sinus lift procedures (21.05%) may be due to the presence of septa and/or irregular sinus floor that interfered with the smoothness of membrane dissection. The presence of septa was reported as a risk factor for membrane perforation35. Many authors reported that it is the most common complication during lateral sinus augmentation and its incidence ranges between 11% and 56% 25. Other studies reported perforation incidence about 19.5-41% in lateral sinus lift procedure 25. In this study the perforation in the 4 cases, was <2 mm (according to Figazzotto et al. (2015)35, class II A) and was managed successfully by application of the easily adapted PRF membrane. Intraoperative bleeding from injured alveolar antral artery associated with 3 procedures (15.79%) during lateral osteotomy window preparation and this is reported as one of the most common intraoperative complications of lateral sinus lift procedure25. In two cases the bleeding was not so severe and stopped spontaneously while proceeding in the operation. The researcher encountered one case with profuse bleeding of injured alveolar antral artery, it is documented by Valente et al. (2015)28 that the incidence of injury is increased when diameter of alveolar antral artery >0.5 mm. In this case the bleeding was severe so that catherization with diamond bur attached to turbine without water irrigation was done; this maneuver was confirmed by Resnik and Misch (2017)37. Intact alveolar antral artery and Schneiderian membrane are important for proper revascularization of the area and neoangiogenesis26. No postoperative infection was reported in this study, this is coincident with other studies28. This is may be related to the well-established anti-infectious and immune regulation properties of leukocyte entrapped in fibrin mesh of PRF38. Platelets are responsible for releasing modulator proteins of humoral and cellular immunity. Antibacterial and fungicidal proteins also stored in platelets granules31. Survival rate of DI 6 months after surgery was 100%; all implants were clinically stable at the time of 2nd stage surgery (implant uncoverage). In a systematic review by Ali et al. (2015)24, a total of 57 sinus augmentation using PRF as a sole graft material were done and 110 implants were placed in 46 patients, in all cases during uncovering time, the 110 implants placed were clinically stable. PRF application improves implant stability during the early healing period owing to faster osseointegration4. For both treatment protocols, the total mean preoperative membrane thickness was 3.17 mm and 2.46 mm postoperatively. There were no statistically significant changes in membrane thickness (P-value=0.53). The minimum radiographically detected mucosal thickness is 2 mm and the greater thickness is considered pathological thickening. It was reported that the preoperative CBCT for patients who need sinus augmentation procedure greatly revealed mucosal thickening >2 mm1. This is in acceptance with the preoperative findings of this study as the mean preoperative MT was 3.17 mm. Surprisingly 5 sinususes (26.32%) with preoperative membranous thickening >2 mm were found to resolve completely. This may be attributed to the anti-inflammatory effect of (IL-4) secreted by activated leukocyte of PRF clot33. To the best of author's knowledge there is no published study discuss such changes in membrane when PRF used as graft material.

CONCLUSION

The use of PRF as the sole graft material during sinus lift with simultaneous implant placement (one-stage surgery) provides stable, high level of natural bone which is comparable to normal bone density of posterior maxilla in the submembraneous cavity in continuity with the tip of the implant, however, sinus augmentation with PRF alone without implant placement (two-stage surgery) results in a limited bone formation.
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