

Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research www.ipsr.pharmainfo.in

# Medicinal Profile of a Scared Drug in Ayurveda: Crataeva religiosa

A Review

Udaysing Hari Patil<sup>1</sup> and Gaikwad D.K.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany,Bhogawati Mahavidyalya Kurukali, Tal-Karveer, District- Kolhapur, Maharashtra

[India]-416001

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, [MS] India-416004

#### Abstract

*Crataeva religiosa* (Hook and Frost) is one of the herbal drug in urolithiasis, belongs to the family capparidaceae. The drug is well known for its various pharmacological properties like diuretic, antiinflammatory, laxative, antioxidant, antioxaluric, hepatoprotectant, lithonotriptic, antirehumatic, antiperiodic, antimycotic, contraceptive, antipyretic, antilithitic, antihelminthic, rubifacient and vasicant properties. The bark of the *Crataeva religiosa* is useful in the urinary disorders and kidney stone remover. The crude drug contains an active principle lupeol, a triterpenoid which is mainly involved in the pharmacological activities of this plant. The present review summarizes Ethnobotanical, pharmacological and phytochemical aspects of this medicinal plant.

Key Words: Laxative, Pharmacological, Phytochemical, Rubifacient, Urolithiasis, Vesicant.

### 1. Botany

The name Crataeva is given in the honor of Crataevus, a Greek botanist, who was living in the time of Hippocrates and the name religiosa indicates its growth near the places of worship [1]. Crataeva religiosa is much branched deciduous tree belonging to the family capparidaceae, commonly called as Varuna [2]. The trade name given for this tree is three leaved capper [3]. The leaves are trifoliate, glabrous, and ovate. Flowers are whitish to milky white in colour in terminal dense corymbs [2]. Fruit is berry, globose or some times oblong with woody rind, embedding seeds in the yellow pulp [1]. The outer surface of bark is wrinkled and greywhite in colour, covered with large number of lenticells. Tree flowers and fruits in the month of Dec-May [4].

#### 2. Distribution

*Crataeva religiosa* is globally distributed in India, Myanmar, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Indonesia and China. In India, it is found in Peninsular India, Western India, Gangetic Plains, and Eastern India, up to Tripura and Manipur [2]. It is also found in Sikkim and Andman and Nicobar Island [3]. It is found mostly along the bank of the river and streams and near to temple side [5], [6].

## 3. Ethnobotany

The plant part used for the medicinal purpose includes Leaves, stem bark and Root bark [7],

[8], [9]. These parts of C. nurvala are commonly applied to regulate equilibrium among Vata, Pitta and Kapha in Ayurvedic system while the stem bark is used to promote the appetite and to decease the secretion of the bile in unani medicines [10]. Recently Bopana and Saxena [11] critically reviewed C. nurvala for its ethnobotanical and pharmacological properties. Plant is used ethnopharmacologically as diuretic, laxative, lithonotriptic, antirehumatic, antiperiodic, bitter tonic, rubifacient and counterirritant [7], [8]. The bark is used in the urinary disorders including kidney and bladder stones, antiemetic, and calculous affections and as an antidote in snakebite [7]. C. religiosa is valuable in treating vata (blood flow, waste elimination and breathing), Pitta-(fever and metabolic disorder) and Kapha (joint lubrication, skin moisture, wound healing, strength and vigour, memory loss, heart and lung weakness and weak immune system [9]. A preparation called 'Varunal' contains *Crataeva* in combination with Eclipts, Picrorrhiza, Achillea, Cichorium, Solanum, Arjuna, and Cassia seeds is used against hepatitis, edema, ascites, urinary stones and arthritis [12]. The bark is contraceptive and cytotoxic and useful in kidney bladder stones, fever vomiting and gastric irritation [13]. Roots and bark are laxative and lithontipic and increase appetite and biliary secretion [14]. Leaves are used as externally rubifacient and used in rheumatism. Leaves are given internally febrifuge and tonic [15], [16].

According to Gurrero [http/www. bpi.da.gov.ph. 2009], In Philippines, leaves are useful in irregular menstruation and also in stomachic, whereas the bark is used to cure convulsions and tympanites. Sanyal and [http/www.bpi.da.gov.ph. Ghose 20091 speculated that the crushed leaves are applied in the form of paste for swelling of feet and also for a burning -sensation in the soles of feet. The bark and the leaves are pounded and applied in the form of a poultice in rheumatism. The fresh leaves bruised with little vinegar, applied to skin. Bark and roots are rubifacient and vesicant. Decoction of bark is used in the disorders of urinary organs and urinary calculi. Roots and bark in the form of decoction are used as calculus affections [http/www.bpi.da.gov.ph. 2009]. Traditionally, the plant is used as oxitosic, in rheumatic fever in kidney stones, bladder stone and as tonic [17]. It is useful as antipyretic, antilithitic, antihelminthic. demulcent, in blood and chest diseases [18]. polyherbal NR-AG-I is а formulation containing *Crataeva* religiosa, **Dollichos** biflorus, Tribulus terrestris and Shilajit. NR-AG-II is another herbal formulation containing Crataeva religiosa, Boerrhavia diffusa, Sacchaarum officinarum. and Butea frondosa. Between these two, NR-AG-II is having good diuretic potential than NR-AG-I [19]. A mixture containing-Tribulus terrestris fruits (25%); Zinziber officinalis roots(10%); Solanum xanthocarpum whole plant (10%); Asparagus racemosus roots (10%); Tephrosia (10%) and *Crataeva purpurea* leaves religiosa bark (25%) was prepared and 4gm of mixture given to patient twice daily with water in urinary disorder [20].

Berry like globose fruits of *Crataeva* are edible and used as astringent [1] and rind of the fruit is used as mordant in dying [18]. In People living in Kango and Yurubas leaf paste in water is used for counter irritant purposes [21]. Quisumbing [22] reported that fresh leaves of this plant have rubifacient and vasicant properties. According to Corner [23], young shoots and fruits of *Crataeva religiosa* are eaten and used in curries. Fruits of this tree are used as spice because of its garlic taste [24]. In Pallaypatty village of Tamil Nadu, people use the leaves and bark of this tree to cure jaundice, eczema, rabies [25]. The bark of this tree is useful in family planning [http://www.milliontreedream.org]. The bark is also diuretic [26]. The juice of fruit, leaves and bark is applied to cure snakebite, infected wounds and cuts. It increases appetite and The controls other skin diseases [27]. decoction of the bark is useful in the treatment of urinary organs [27] and leaves are used as vegetable and the dried leaves are smoked in caries of nasal bones, the smoke being exhaled through the nose in neurologic pains [28].

## 4. Phytochemistery

Lakshmi and Chuhan [29] isolated lupeol from the root bark of C. religiosa. It also contains lupeol acetate, varunaol, spinasterol acetate, taraxasterol and 3-epilupeol [30]. A triterpene, diosgenin and two alkaloids cadabicine and cadabcine diacetate have been isolated the bark of Crataeva religiosa [31]. By repeated chromatography technique, Enamul huque et al. [32] isolated two triterpenoids phragmalin triacetate and lupeol from the ethyl acetate fractions of stem bark of C. religiosa. The stem bark also found to Epiafzelechin 5-glucoside contain [33]. investigation of leaves Chemical by Gagandeep and Khadilkar [34], showed presence of four compounds- Dodecanoic methyl anhydride, pentacosanoate, Kaemferol-3-O-a-D glucoside and querscitin-3-O-α-Dglucoside. Leaves contain isovitexin, proanthocyanidins, myricetin and phenolic acids, p- hydroxyl benzoic acid vanilic acid, ferulic acid and sinapic acid [30]. Sethi et al. [35] reported glucocaparin from the fruits of Crataeva religiosa. Gagandeep and Khadilkar [36], first time reported four chemical compoundspentadecane, octanamide, 12-tricosanonoe and friedelin from the fruits of C. religiosa.

## 5. Pharmacology

Sahoo *et al.* [37] studied the antimycotic activity against the *Candida albicans Candida tropicalis*, *Candida krusei*, *Cryptococus marinus*, and *Aspergillus niger*. They noticed that aqueous extract of bark inhibited *Cryptococus marinus* and *Aspergillus niger* whereas aqueous and ethanolic extract did not showed any effect against *Candida albicans, Candida tropicalis, Candida krusei* and *Cryptococus marinus*.

While ethanolic extract, the chloroform extract showed better results against the test fungal pathogens and indicates the presence of higher contents of phytochemicals having antifungal activity.

The pharmacology division of Central Drug Research Institute Luckhnow has carried out detailed pharmacological and chemical According studies on this plant. to Varalakshmi et al. [38], decoction of bark also lowers the intestinal Na+ and K+-ATPase levels. Reports of Singh et al. [39] showed the effectiveness of Crataeva bark in prophylaxis of oxalate urolithiasis induced by simultaneous administration of sodium oxalate and methionine in guinea pig. Alam et al. [40] studied the antinocicepative effects of crude ethanolic extract of C. religiosa on mice by acetic analgesic test method. Crude ethanolic extract of Crataeva religiosa bark produced dose dependent significant antinocicepative effects against chemically induced noncicepative pain stimuli in mice. Further results of Alam et al. [40], suggested that the effects are peripherally and centrally mediated.

A pentacyclic triterpane, lupeol isolated from the stem bark and its ester derivative lupeol their linolate were tested for antiinflammatory activity in Freund's adjuvant induced arthritis in rats [41]. The effect of lupeol linolate was found to be better in this respect when compared with lupeol [41]. According to Geetha and Varalakshmi [42], lupeol linolate reduces the foot-pad thickness and complement activity in arthritis in rats, was greater than unestrified lupeol and indomethacin. Shirwaikar et al. [43] investigated the role of lupeol in reducing the blood urea nitrogen, creatinine and lipid peroxidation with corresponding increase in glutathione and catalase activities in cisplatin induced nephrotoxicity indicating its antioxidant nature. Hippocratic screen carried out by Molone and Robichaud [44] revealed the ability of crude bark extract to minimize acetic acid induced writhing at higher doses.

According to Vidya and Varalakshmi [45], the total administration of lupeol and its analogue betulin to hyperoxaluric rats minimized tubular damage and reduced markers of crystal deposition in kidney. In this connection the lupeol was found tobe effective than betulin. Anti-inflammatory, antinocicepative anti-pyretic and ulcerogenic properties of lupeol and lupeol linolate were studied by Geetha and Varalakshmi [46] in comparison with commonly used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug indomethacin. Lupeol and lupeol linolate caused reduction in paw-swelling in adjuvant arthritis. Singh and Kapoor [47], reported anti-urolithiatic activity of ethanolic extract of bark extract in albino rats by foreign body insertion method using glass bead. Α triterpenoid compound, Lupeol isolated from bark of this plant showed prophylactic and curative activities in albino rats when studied by foreign body insertion method [48]. Lupeol also showed significant antioxaluric [49] and anti-calciuric [50] effects in rats against hydroxyproline induced hyperoxaluria. Vidya et al. [51] studied the anti-oxaluric effects of number of lupeol derivatives against hyper-oxaluriea in rats. The effect of bark decoction on calcium oxalate urolithiasis induced by 3% glycolic acid has been studied in rats [52]. Bark decoction significantly prevented the deposition of calcium and oxalate in the kidney by inhibiting the glycolic acid oxidase activity in liver. Sunita et al. [53] investigated the hepatoprotective properties of Lupeol and lupeol linolate against cadmium induced toxicity in rats. The cadmium toxicity caused elevated level of malondialdehyde and decreased level of enzymic and non- enzymic antioxidants in rat liver. Oral administration of lupeol and lupeol linolate significantly reverted the tissue redox system to normal level by scavenging free radicals and improved the antioxidant status of the liver. Lupeol linolate had better effect than lupeol on antioxidant status of the liver. Preetha et al., [54] studied the effect of lupeol on aflatoxin (AFB-1) induced peroxidative hepatic damage in rats. They compared the hepatoprotection of lupeol with silymarin, a standard hepatoprotectant drug. AFB-1

treatment caused hepatic damage bv increasing the level of lactate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, alanine and aspartate aminotransferase along with increase in the lipid peroxide level while enzymic and nonenzymic antioxidant levels were found to be decreased in hepatic tissue. Oral treatment of lupeol normalized the altered mechanism of the hepatic tissue system and the effect was comparable to silymarin, indicating potent hepatoprotectant [54]. In experimental model of urolithiasis, Deshpande et al. [55] noticed decrease in weight of kidney stone when treated with bark of the plant. He also observed that, the bladder mucosa had less edema and ulceration, and also the cellular infiltration was intense than control group not treated with C. religiosa bark. According to Malini et al. [56], lupeol administration to stone forming rats reduced the renal excretion of the oxalate, a causative agent of stone formation and reduced the stone formation in animals preventing crystal induced tissue damage to kidney eluting stone forming constituents from kidney and restricted oxalate and crystal induced peroxidative alterations to renal tissue [56]. According to Deshpande et al., [55] stem bark decoction in water is useful in the treatment of prostatic hypertrophy and hypotonic bladder and positively affects the patients from incontinence, urinary frequency, pain and urine retention.

Urinary electrolytes Calcium, Sodium and magnesium play very important role in the pathology of urolithiasis. Deshpande et al., [55] estimated the urinary electrolytes after treatment of bark decoction of Crataeva religiosa and noticed reduced excretion of urinary calcium while that of sodium and magnesium increased significantly, altered the relative proportion of urinary electrolyte responsible for kidney stone formation [55]. According to Deshpande et al. [55], decoction of Crataeva religiosa bark helps in passing out the kidney stones in crystalurea patients. 'Uriflow', a commercial herbal formulation containing C. religiosa bark has been found to be useful in initiating the passage and bladder of renal stones [http://www.getridofkidneystones.com]. Urinary tract infection includes urethritis,

cystitis, nephritis or pyelonephritis. Four day treatment with *C. religiosa* bark decoction minimized these symptoms of urinary tract [58]. A formulation patented by Oneal and White

[http://scientific.thomsonreuters.com/media/] contain cratavin (extract of root bark and stem bark), is used to treat the E. coli infection to urinary tract. Samiolla and Harish [19] studied the diuretic effects of a herbal formulation-NR-AG-II containing aqueous extract of Crataeva nurvala bark. When activity of NR-AG-II was compared with a loop diuretic drug frusemide, NR-AG-II increased Na, K and Cl electrolyte level in urine, urine volume. 'Renaloka' product of Himalaya herbal health care, is used in the treatment of urinary tract infection. Shukla and Navak [56] evaluated the use of PR-2000, a polyherbal formulation, to treat patient with hyperplasia. benigen prostatic PR-2000 religiosa, Tribulus contains Crataeva racemosus terrestris. Asparagus and Caesalpenis.

Chakraborty et al. [http://www. Himalay healthcare.com] studied the potential of a polyherbal formulation Himplasia (Himalaya herbal healthcare). Daily use of himaplasia reduced the prostate size and increased the flow rate. It also reduced the prostrate volume, postovid residual urine volume, urinary flow time, latent period and increased the peak flow rate significantly [58]. Clinical study with 98-BDH patients 'Himaplasia' treatment relived the symptoms of BDH by increasing the urinary flow rate and reducing postovid residual volume through the reduction in prostrate size [59], [60]. Meher et al. [61], studied the effect of Tribulis terrestris and Crataeva religiosa against gentamycin induced nephrotoxicity in albino rats. Aqueous extract of these drugs protected the rats from the nephrotoxicity and showed the maximum level of nephroprotective action. Lupeol and its derivative known as Lupeol-EPA is a fatty acid, lowered the increased activities of the lysosomal and glycoproteins in the rats to normal level when compared to control edomethacin treatement [62]. Possible cardioprotective effects of lupeol isolated from the Crataeva religiosa bark against cyclophosphamide (CP) induced oxidative stress have been studied by Sudharsan et al. [63]. CP used in cancer treatment causes fatal cardiotoxicity, and increases the activities of enzymes lactate dehydrogenase, creatine phosphokinase in serum and decreases in cardiac tissue. Cyclophosphamide treatment in albino rats caused intermuscular hemorrhage in histology, increased the lipid peroxide level and decreased the enzymic and non enzymic oxidant level in hearts. Oral administration of lupeol and lupeol linolate reversed the alterations caused by cyclophosphamide induced oxidative stress [63].

High cholesterol diet caused increase in total cholesterol and triglyceride levels in plasma along with elevation in the low density protein (LDL), very low density protein (VLDL) and cholesterol content and decreased level of high density lipoprotein. The lupeol and its derivative normalized the impaired lipid profile by decreasing the lipid peroxidation levels and stabilizing the antioxidant defense system by increasing the enzymic and non enzymic antioxidant status [64]. Lupeol also posses anti-malerial potential against chloroquine resistant Plasmodium falciparum. Among 96 lupeol derivatives synthesized, 15 posses higher activity than lupeol, and other have potential equivalent to lupeol or nil effect against the pathogen [65]. An herbal formulation (Herbmed- constitutes Bark of Crataeva religiosa and stem of Mussa paradisiaca.) prepared by Patankar et al. [66] helps to dissolve the renal calculi and facilitate their passage out, thereby minimizing the pain due to renal and ureteric calculus disease. Saleem et al. [67] evaluated the anti-inflammatory and anticancer activities of lupeol in Benzoyl peroxide induced tumor formation in murine skin. Benzoyl peroxide administration increased the microsomal peroxidation and hydrogen peroxide generation. Activities of the antioxidant enzymes catalase, peroxidase glutathione reductase, glutathione peroxidase, and glutathione S- transferase were found to be decreased along with decrease in coetaneous glutathione level and increase in ornithin decarboxylase activity and thymidin uptake in the DNA synthesis. Administration of lupeol 1 hr. before the Benzovl peroxide

treatment perevented the oxidative damage due to Benzoyl peroxide [68].

#### 6. Biotechnology

Walia et al. [69], regenerated C. religiosa by using seedling derived explants- cotyledonary nodes, epicotyl nodes, hypocotyls segments, first pair of leaves, cotyledons and root segments. MS medium supplemented with 0.5mg/L BAP and 0.02mg/L or 0.1mg/L was found suitable for production of multiple shoots and good rooting. Walia et al. [70] successfully regenerated the C. religiosa by using the nodal explants from the 30 year old Crataeva religiosa. MS medium containing 2.22 µM of BAP successfully produced multiple shoots which elongated satisfactorily on the same medium. Sanayaima et al. [16] investigated the cryopreservation of in vitro grown axillary shoot tips. Axillary buds from 4 weak old in vitro cultures produced shoots on MS medium supplied with 0.1mg/L BAP. The shoots were rooted on MS medium supplied with 0.02mg/L NAA. Shirin and Maravi [71] studied the clonal propagation of Crataeva religiosa by using the stem node segments from axillary branches. MS medium containing 10micro M BA and 15 micro M IAA was effectively producing the shoots and rooting the plants.

#### **References.**

- 1. Parker, R. N., *Common Indian Trees and How to Know Them.* Pointer publication, Jaipur. 1999, Pp 32
- 2. Williamson, M., Mejor herbs of Ayurveda., Churchill Livingston publication, Elsvier Sci., Ltd., 2002, Pp111-116.
- 3. Pullaih, T., Encyclopedia of world medicinal plants., Regency Publication, 1<sup>Edn</sup>, 2006, *Vol 1*:256.
- 4. Yadav, S. R., Sardesai, M. M., Flora of Kolhapur District. 2002.
- 5. Panda, H., Handbook of medicinal plants., Asic Pacific Publication Delhi, 2004.
- Warrier. P. K. Indian medicinal plants: A compendium of 500 species., Arya V. S. (Ed), Orient Publication, France, 1995, *Vol.* 3:280.
- 7. Bhatachargee, S. K., Handbook of Medicinal Plants. Aavishkar Publication and Distributors, Jaipur, 2001.

- Nadkarni, A. K. C., Indian Materia Medica, Popular publication Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, 1979.
- 9. Anonymous., *Crataeva*: Wealth of India. Vol. 2, CSIR Publication, New Delhi, 1987.
- Mhaskar, K. S., Blatter, F., Caius, J. F., In: Kirtikar and Basu's Illustrated Indian Medicinal Plants: Their usage in Ayurveda and Unani medicines, Sri Satguru Publications, New Delhi. 2000, Pp. 254– 259.
- 11. Bopana, N., Saxena, S., J. of Herbs, Spices and Med. plants. 2008, 14(1): 107-127.
- Warrier, P. K., Indian medicinal plants: A compendium of 500 species., Arya V. S. (Ed.), Orient Publication, France, 1997, *Vol.* 4:202.
- 13. Gagandeep, M., Khadilkar, S. B., Int. J. Pharm. Sci., 2006, 68: 804-806.
- 14. Malini, M. M., Baskar, R., Varalakshmi, P., *Jpn. H. Med. Sci. Biol.*, 1995, 48:211-220.
- 15. Walia, N., Kaur, A., Babar, S. B., *Plnat cell Rep.*, 2007, *26*(*3*):277-284.
- Sanayaima, R. K., Kaur, A., Agrawal, A., Babbar, S. B., *Cry. Letters*, 2006, 27: 375-386.
- Ghani, A., Medicinal plants of Bangladesh with Chemical constituents and uses. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Asiatic Soci. of Bangladesh, Dhaka, 1998, Pp. 184.
- Dury, C. H., In: the useful plants of India. International book publications, Deharadun. 1978, Pp353.
- 19. Samiolla, D. S., Harish, M. S., *Indian J. of Pharmacol.*, 2000, **32**: 112-113.
- 20. Samy. R. P., Pushpraj, P. N., Gopalkrisnan, P., *Bioinformation*, 2008, *3*(*3*):100-110.
- 21. Irvine, F. R., Woody plants of Ghana with special reference to their uses. Landon, Oxford Univ. Press. 1961.
- Quisumbing, E., Medicinal Plants of the Philipines. Tech. Bull. Philipp. Agric. Nat. Res., (16). Manila, Philipine Islands: Manila Bureau of Printing. 1951.
- Corner, E. J. H., Way side Trees of Malaya, Singapore: VCG Gatrell, Govern. Printer. 1952, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. Vol. 1
- 24. Seidmann, T., World spice plants. Springer verlag, Heidenberg. 2005, Pp120.
- Ganesan, S., Ponnuchamy, M., Kesavan, L., Selvaraj, A., *Indian J of Traditional Knowledge*, 2009, 8(2): 154-162.
- Krishnaraju, A. V., Rao, C. V., Rao, T. V. N., Reddy, K. N., Trimurtulu, G., *American Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2009, 5(2): 60-67.

- 27. Sapkota, J., J. of Appl. Biomed., 2003, 1: 7-12.
- Dastur, J. F., Medicinal plants of India and Pakistan. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn., Taraporvala Sons and Company Private limited, Bombay 1962.
- 29. Lakshmi, V., Chuhan, J. S., *Planta Med.*, 1997, 32: 214-216.
- 30. Daniel, M., Medicinal plants. Chemistry and properties, 2006, Pp 447.
- 31. Ahmed, V. V., Fizza, K., Amber, A. U. R., Arif, S., *J natural Product*, 1987, *50*:1186.
- 32. Enamul huque Md., Nahidul Islam Md., Das Gupta D., Hossain M., Hossain U. S., Alam Shibib B., *Dhaka Univ. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2008, 7(1):71-74.
- 33. Sethi, V. K., Taneja, S.C., Dhar, K. L., Atal, C. K., *Phytochemistry*, 1984, *23(10)*: 2402-2403.
- 34. Gagandeep, M., Khadilkar, S. B., Int. J. Pharm. Sci., 2006, 68: 804-806.
- 35. Sethi, V. K., Jain, M. P., Thakur, R. S., *Planta medica*, 1978, *34*:223-224.
- 36. Gagandeep, M., Khadilkar, S. B., J. of *Pharm. Sci.*, 2009, *71*(2): 129-130.
- Sahoo, S., Mishra, S. K., Panda. P. K., Tripathi, S., Mishra, A. R., Ellaiah, P., Das, S., K., Acta poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug research, 2008, 65(2):245-247.
- Varalakshmi, P., Shamila, Y. and Lata, E., Jayanti, S., *J Ethnopharmaol.*, 1991, *31*:67-73.
- Singh, P. P., Hussain, F., Ahamad, A., Gupta, R. C., *Ind. J. Cli. Biochemistry.*, 1999, 7:23-26.
- Alam, M. A., Haque, M. E., Shilpi, J. A., Kaulla, K. A., *Bangl. J. Vet. Med.*, 2006, 4(1): 65-68.
- 41. Geetha, T., Varalakshmi, P., Latha, R. M., *Pharmacol. Res.*, 1998, *37*(3):191-195.
- 42. Geetha, T., Varalakshmi, P., *Gene. Parma.*, 1999, *32*: 495-497.
- 43. Shirwaikar, A., Shetty, M., Bommu, P., Krishinanad, B., *Pharmaceut. Biol.*, 2004, *42*(7):559-564.
- 44. Molone, M. H., Robichaud, R. C. A., *Lioyadia*, 1992, 25: 320-332.
- 45. Vidya, L., Varalakshmi, P., *Fitoterapia*, 2000, 75:533-543.
- 46. Geetha, T., Varalakshmi, P., *J. of Ethnopharmacol.*, 2001, 76(1): 77-80.
- 47. Singh, R. G., Kapoor, S. U., J. of Research and Education in Indian medicine, 1991, 10:35-39.
- Anand, R., Patanaik, G. K., Kulshreshtha, D. K., Dhavan, B. N., *Indian J Pharmacol.*, 1989, 21:74.

- 49. Bhaskar, R., Malini, M., Varalakshmi, P., Balkrishna, K., Bina Rao, R., *Fitoterapia*, *LXVI*, 1996, 2:121-125.
- Anand, R., Patanaik, G. K., Kulshreshtha, K., Phytotherapy reasearch, 1994, 8: 412-421.
- 51. Vidya L., Malini M. M., Varalakshmi, P., *Pharmacol Res.*, 2000, *16*(6):514-518.
- 52. Varalakshmi, P., Shamila, Y., Latha, E., J Ethnopharmaol., 1990, 28:313-321.
- 53. Sunita, S., Nagaraj, M., Varlakshmi, P., *Fitoterapia*, 2001, 72(5): 516-523.
- 54. Preetha, S. P., Manniappan, M., Selvakumar, E., Nagaraja, M., Varalakshmi, P., *Comparative Biochem and Physiology Part C : Toxicology and Pharmacol.*, 2006, 143(3): 333-339.
- 55. Deshpande, P. J., Sahu, M., Kumar, P., *Indian J Med Res.* 1982, 76:46–53.
- 56. Malini, M. M., Lenin, M., P. Varalakshmi., *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2000, *41*(4):413–418.
- 57. Shukla, G. N., Nayak, M., Indian J. Clin. Pract., 2002, 13(2):53–56.
- 58. Modi, P. R., Kohlapure, S. A., *Med. Update.*, 2004, *12*(6):33–42.
- Arrora, R. P., Nayak, R. L., Malhotra, V., Mohanty, N. K., Kulkarni, K. S., *Med. Update.*, 2003, *11*(2):55–58.
- 60. Sahu, M., Bhat, R. P., Kulkarni, K. S., *Med. Update.*, 2003, *11*(1):75–78.
- 61. Meher, S. K., Chaudhuri, S. B., Marjit, B. P., Mukherjee, K., Ram, A. K., Munshi, S.,

Das, N., Kundu, K. K., Islam, C. N., Das. A. K., *Indian J. Pharmacol.* 2001, *33*:128.

- 62. Latha, R. M., Lenin, M., Rasool, M., Varalaksmi, P., *Prostaglandins, Leukotrines and essential fatty acids,* 2001, 64(2):81-85.
- Sudharsan, P. T., Mythili, Y., Selvakumar, E., Varalakshmi P., *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 2004, 24(6):313–318.
- 64. Sudhahar, V., Kumar, S. A., Varalakshmi, P., *Clin. Chim. Acts.*, 2005, 355:119–130.
- Shrinivasan, T., Srivastava, G. K., Pathak, A., Batra, S., Raj, K., Singh, K., Puri, S. K.. Kundu, B., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, *12*:2803–2806.
- Patankar, S., Dobhada, S., Bhansali, M., Khaladkar, S., Modi, J., J Altern Complment Med., 2008, 14(10):1287-1290.
- 67. Saleem, M., *Cancer Lett.*, 2009, 285(2):109-115.
- Saleem M., Alam, A., arifin, S., Shafi Shah, M., Ahmed, B., Sultanam, S., *Pharmacological research.*, 2001, 43(2):127-134.
- 69. Walia, N., Sinha, S., Babar, S. B., *Biologia plantarum*, 2003, 42(2): 181-185.
- Walia, N., Kaur, A., Babar, S. B., *Plnat cell Rep.*, 2007, 26(3):277-284.
- 71. Shirin, F., Maravi, S., J. of Herbs, Spices and Med. Plants, 2006, 12:(1-2).